<u>COURT-I</u>

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

APPEAL NO. 108 OF 2016 APPEAL NO. 213 OF 2016 AND APPEAL NO. 38 OF 2018 & IA NO. 863 OF 2017 & IA NO. 483 OF 2018

Dated: 4th July, 2018

Present: Hon'ble Mr. I. J. Kapoor, Technical Member Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

APPEAL NO. 108 OF 2016

In the matter of:

In the matter of

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.	 Appellant(s)
Versus	
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.	 Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s)	:	Ms. Deepa Chawan Mr. Kiran Gandhi Mr. Ramni Taneja Mr. Udit Gupta
Counsel for the Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Bhavesh Panjuani Mr. Hasan Murtaza Ms. Malavika Prasad for R-2
		Mr. Harinder Toor Mr. Soumik Ghosal Mr. Gaurav Singh for R-3

APPEAL NO. 213 OF 2016

The Mula Pravara Electric Co-operative Society Ltd Versus		Appellant(s)	
Maharashtra Electricity Regula	tory Commission & Ors.		Respondent(s)
Counsel for the Appellant(s)	: Mr. Bhavesh Panj Mr. Hasan Murtaz Ms. Malavika Pra	a	
Counsel for the Respondent(s)	: Ms. Deepa Chaw Mr. Kiran Gandhi	an	

Mr. Ramni Taneja Mr. Udit Gupta for R-2

Mr. Harinder Toor Mr. Soumik Ghosal Mr. Gaurav Singh for R-3

<u>APPEAL NO. 38 OF 2018 &</u> IA NO. 863 OF 2017 & IA NO. 483 OF 2018

In the matter of:

Maharashtra Veej Grahak Sangatana			Appellant(s)
Versus Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.			Respondent(s)
Counsel for the Appellant(s) :	Mr. Harinder Toor Mr. Soumik Ghosal Mr. Gaurav Singh		
Counsel for the Respondent(s) :	Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan for R-1		
	Mr. Kiran Gandhi Mr. Ramni Taneja Mr. Udit Gupta for R-2	2	
	Mr. Bhavesh Panjuan Mr. Hasan Murtaza Ms. Malavika Prasad		3

<u>ORDER</u>

APPEAL NO. 108 OF 2016

It is represented by learned counsel for the parties that pleadings are complete in this matter.

List the matter for hearing on 30.08.2018.

APPEAL NO. 213 OF 2016

In spite of giving many opportunities to the appellant to file rejoinder in this matter, the same has not been filed yet. Therefore, we construe that pleadings are complete in this matter.

APPEAL NO. 38 OF 2018

<u>IA NO. 863 OF 2017</u>

(Appln. for exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned order)

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant/applicant. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed.

IA NO. 483 OF 2018 in (Appln. for condonation of delay in filing reply)

In this application, the applicant/respondent has prayed that delay in filing reply may be condoned.

We have heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the explanation offered for the delay in filing reply. We find the explanation to be acceptable. Sufficient cause has been made out. Hence, delay in filing reply is condoned and reply is taken on record. Application is disposed of.

Learned counsel for the appellant in Appeal No. 38 of 2018 may file rejoinder on or before 26.07.2018 after serving copy on the other side.

List the matter on <u>30.08.2018.</u>

(Justice N. K. Patil) Judicial Member (I.J. Kapoor) Technical Member

ts/tpd